Abstract

**Background:** Heart failure (HF) is a chronic and incapacitant disease with bad prognosis, and it is commonly related to psychosocial comorbidities that difficult treatment adhesion. The prevalence of depression in HF varies from 20 to 40%, occurring 3 to 5 times more frequently in patients with HF than general population. The coexistence of depressive symptoms depreciates heart condition in patients with HF: depressive symptoms predict mortality, re-hospitalization, and an augment of symptoms in those patients. Aiming to clarify certain aspects of this comorbidity, it was performed a systematic review using Scopus and MEDLINE/PubMed databases, correlating HF and depression, from January 1, 2013, to August 20, 2017, by means of the descriptors found in MeSH: “heart failure” and “depression”.

**Methods:** Data screening applied combinations, as follows: #1. “Heart Failure” [MeSH Terms]; #2. “Depression” [MeSH Terms]; #3. “Management” [Keyword], using the following research strategy: (#1 AND #2) AND #3.

**Results:** Improvement in depression levels through interventions using telephone is extensively reported in literature, based on providing information about the disease, self-care accompaniment, or cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT). Because of this, it is shown as an effective alternative in depression, improving surviving rates. Equally effective interventions were the empowering of the patient and the familial engagement in caring, palliative care and multidisciplinary participation in construction of caring program.
Conclusions: Curiously, physical exercises did not show, statistically, efficiency in depression improvement, and few were the articles approaching antidepressant medications use in this class of patient.
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Introduction
Approximately 2% of general population suffer from Heart Failure (HF). This incidence is higher for 1 among 10 people with over than 75 years old (Lundgren et al., 2015; McMurray et al., 2012a).

Despite treatment advances, HF has high morbidity and mortality rates, as well as leads to repetitive hospital admissions (Bekelma et al., 2014; Jaarsma et al., 2000). Mortality rate in 5 years is 50% for recently diagnosed patients, in the last 20 years (Greene et al., 2015; Kemper, Carmin, Metha & Binkley, 2016).

HF is a complex clinical syndrome characterized by dyspnea and fatigue, and evidences subjacent structural abnormality or cardiac dysfunction (McMurray et al., 2012b; Tully, Selkow, Bengel & Raffanelli, 2015). It is a chronic and incapacitant disease, with bad prognosis and a severely prejudiced quality of life (Bleumink et al., 2004; Lossnitzer et al., 2015). Other studies (Adams et al., 2005; Chamberlain et al., 2015; Kemper et al., 2016) add that many times HF is accompanied by other psychosocial comorbidities that difficult treatment adhesion.

Facing this, Tully et al. (2015) highlight that is common the prevalence of depression in HF. Some studies show a prevalence varying from 20 to 40% (Johansson, Dahlstrom & Brostrom, 2006; Lundgren et al., 2015; Rutledge, Reis, Linke, Greenberg & Mills, 2006). According other data, Gelbrich et al. (2014) and Rutledge et al. (2006) depression occur 3 to 5 times more frequently in patients with HF than the general population, depending on symptoms severity of HF and comorbidities.

Interrelation between depressive symptoms and adverse cardiac outcome is firmly established (Gelbrich et al., 2014). Studies (Jiang et al., 2001; Jünger et al., 2005; Lossnitzer et al., 2015) corroborate this idea, once the coexistence of depressive symptoms depreciates heart condition in patients with HF: depressive symptoms predict mortality, re-hospitalization, and an augment of symptoms in those patients. Besides, depression is associated to higher health costs, significantly harms quality of life, has negative impacts in disease management strategies and is associated to lower rates of treatment adhesion (Bekelma et al., 2015; Huffman et al., 2014; Tully et al., 2015).

In this sense, recent researches are more and more focused in effects of depression treatment in patient with HF (Dekker, 2011; Lossnitzer et al., 2015; Thombs et al., 2008). Many studies report positive effects to several non-pharmacological depression treatments in patients with HF (Dekker, Moser, Peden & Lennie, 2012; Lossnitzer et al., 2015; Rutledge et al., 2006; Thombs et al., 2008). Some researches also encountered an improvement of cardiac outcomes and clinical symptoms, as consequence of depression treatment (Dekker et al., 2012; Lossnitzer et al., 2015; Sullivan et al., 2009).
Thus, this paper aimed to answer the following question: How to manage a patient with heart failure and depression? For that, a systematic review of the studies approaching this theme was performed, in order to show the best methods that can manage this comorbidity, and how these methods are applied in clinical practice.

Method
This is a systematic review following Cochrane Handbook and Meta-Analyzes (PRISMA) guidelines recommendations for conduction the systematic review. Previously chosen inclusion/exclusion criteria were adopted to analyze data present in Scopus and MEDLINE/PubMed databases, due their data coverage regarding heart failure and depression.

The search was conducted from January 1, 2013, to August 20, 2017, by means of the descriptors found in MeSH: “heart failure” and “depression”. Also, after a brief analyzes of what word must be used to successfully gather the full information required in our search, the keyword “management” was used. Data screening applied combinations and gray literature, as follows: #1. “Heart Failure” [MeSH Terms]; #2. “Depression” [MeSH Terms]; #3. “Management” [Keyword], using the following research strategy: (#1 AND #2) AND #3.

The formulation of the research question was structured based on the PICO acronym. Each word of the PICO component means: P: patients diagnosed with heart failure and depression; I: management methods applied; C: collection of the available scientific information from January 1, 2013 to August 20, 2017; O: gather reliable information to correctly orientate health professionals when dealing with this kind of patient. The research question consists in: “How is the correct management of patients with diagnosed heart failure and depression?”

The following types of studies were included: Case Reports, Clinical Study, Clinical Trial, Comparative Study, Controlled Clinical Trial, Evaluation Studies, Journal Article, Multicenter Study, Observational Study, Randomized Controlled Trial, Twin Study, whose main theme was management of patients with heart failure and depression, in English language. This search period was chosen to gather the most recent information regarding the management of these patients, aiming to recover the most updated data and to build a consistent analysis. Exclusion criteria were comprised of articles that did not directly approach the management of patients with diagnosed heart failure and depression, studies that did not have the open access availability to full text and studies with different type of design. Studies were chosen through electronic search in Scopus and MEDLINE/PubMed databases.

Throughout the process of study selection, two reviewers worked independently and analyzed the studies to be included. When there was a disagreement between them, a third reviewer was used to make the final assessment on the inclusion or non-inclusion of the study. The entire content of the chosen studies was analyzed.

Results
Based on research strategy, 209 publications were identified at MEDLINE and 316 at Scopus, resulting in 525 publications. After an analysis of the title, abstract and body of the remaining references based on inclusion criteria of the study, 508 articles were excluded, and 17 studies remained in final sample. Repeated articles were computed only once in the final counting.

Figure 1 (flow diagram) presents an overview of the studies included in the final table and the elements used in the analysis process. Also, Table 1 shows an overview of selected articles as well as their main findings, methods of control and data publication.
Figure 1: Flow diagram summarizing the process of study inclusion in this review.


Source: Developed by the authors (2017)
Table 1. Management of the comorbidity Heart Failure and Depression in the MEDLINE/PubMed and Scopus databases: main findings and limitations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>Sample or comments</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kalter-Leibovici O. et al. [33]</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Disease management in the treatment of patients with chronic heart failure who have universal access to health care: A randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>BMC Medicine</td>
<td>In this multicenter open-label trial, 1,360 patients recruited after hospitalization for heart failure exacerbation (38%) or from the community (62%) were randomly assigned to either disease management or usual care.</td>
<td>Patients assigned to disease management had a better health-related quality of life and a lower depression score during follow-up. This comprehensive disease management intervention was not superior to usual care with respect to the primary composite endpoint, but it improved health-related quality of life and depression.</td>
<td>Scopus</td>
<td>The patients assigned to usual care were evaluated by the cardiologists at the heart failure centers every 6 months during follow-up, thus some contamination of the control intervention may be possible. In addition, the follow-up assessments of the patients’ NYHA classification and 6-minute walk test were performed by assessors who were not blinded to the patients’ assigned intervention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kemper KJ et al. [9]</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Integrative Medical Care Plus Mindfulness Training for Patients With Congestive Heart Failure: Proof of Concept.</td>
<td>J Evid Based Complementary Altern Med.</td>
<td>Received care at our academic medical center’s Cardiomyopathy Clinic and had been discharged from hospital within the past 12 months for symptoms of heart failure. Five patients enrolled in the 2013 session and 6 enrolled in the 2014 session; overall, 3 dropped out for a 73% completion rate. Of the 10 patients who completed baseline questionnaires, 5 (50%) also completed follow-up questionnaires.</td>
<td>The most common comorbidities were weight gain, sleep problems, and fatigue. After the sessions, 100% of patients planned to make changes to their diet, exercise, and stress management practices. Over half of the patients who met with a pharmacist had a medication-related problem. Improvements were observed in depression, fatigue, and satisfaction with life</td>
<td>Pubmed</td>
<td>As a proof of concept project, this study suffers from a small sample size and use of changing measures to assess key outcomes as we adapted the assessment based on participant feedback. The project was carried out at a single academic institution and included only short-term self-reported outcome measures;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piette JD et al. [34]</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>A Mobile Health Intervention Supporting Heart Failure Patients and Their Informal Caregivers: A Randomized Comparative Effectiveness Trial.</td>
<td>J Med Internet Res.</td>
<td>We identified 331 HF patients from Department of Veterans Affairs outpatient clinics.</td>
<td>Composite quality of life scores was similar across arms. mHealth+CP patients were less likely to report negative emotions during those interactions at both endpoints (both P&lt;.05), were consistently more likely to report taking medications as prescribed during weekly IVR assessments, and also were less likely to report breathing problems or weight gains (all P&lt;.05). Among patients with more depressive symptoms at enrollment, those randomized to mHealth+CP were more likely than standard mHealth patients to report excellent or very good general health during weekly IVR calls.</td>
<td>Pubmed</td>
<td>It is possible that patients were biased about their medication adherence reporting in order to avoid burden for their Care Partner or conflict in the relationship. Another limitation is that the trial was conducted among VA patients, nearly all of whom were men. Caregiving dynamics differ by patients’ demographic and clinical characteristics, and future studies should determine whether results can be replicated in other populations, including non-VA patients and women.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Journal</td>
<td>Sample or comments</td>
<td>Main findings</td>
<td>Database</td>
<td>Limitations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bekelman D.B et al. [26]</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Primary results of the Patient-Centered Disease Management (PCDM) for heart failure study a randomized clinical trial</td>
<td>JAMA Internal Medicine</td>
<td>A population-based sample of 392 patients with an HF diagnosis from 4 Veterans Affairs centers who had a Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire (KCCQ) overall summary score of less than 60 (heavy symptom burden and impaired functional status and quality of life) were enrolled between May 2009 and June 2011.</td>
<td>There were no significant differences in baseline characteristics between patients randomized to the PCDM intervention (n = 187) vs usual care (n = 197); Among those who screened positive for depression, there was a greater improvement in the Patient Health Questionnaire 9 scores after 1 year in the intervention arm than in the usual care arm (2.1 points lower, P = .01). There was no significant difference in 1-year hospitalization rates between the intervention arm and the usual care arm (29.4% vs 29.9%, P = .87)</td>
<td>Scopus</td>
<td>There are several limitations to this study. We were unable to blind participants to the intervention. Because the study was conducted among the population of US veterans, the results may not be generalizable to other health systems and populations. Additional data on aspects of implementation of the intervention may have informed why the intervention did not improve health status compared with usual care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lossnitzer N et al. [14]</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>A patient-centered perspective of treating depressive symptoms in chronic heart failure: What do patients prefer?</td>
<td>Patient Educ Couns.</td>
<td>85 patients with CHF fulfilling the criteria of a depressive disorder according to the PHQ-9 were investigated</td>
<td>The most favored treatment option (64.7%) was ‘regular supportive talks’ – concerning topics such as quality of life, financial stressors, overall health, and/or social relationships – ideally in conjunction with appointments in the CHF Outpatient Department. Furthermore, our interviewed patient sample was very interested in heart-failure education groups: 55.3% reported that they would accept such an offer. 42.4% (n = 36) intended to utilize a treatment at the first assessment; 24.7% (n = 21) actually utilized a treatment after the three month follow-up. The most utilized treatments were ‘regular supportive talks’ (n = 14) and ‘heart-failure exercise group’ (n = 4).</td>
<td>Pubmed</td>
<td>First, our patient-sample was recruited from a CHF outpatient department and may therefore not be representative of CHF patients recruited from the general population or of those in primary care [33]. Second, our participation rate was only 67%. Third, we included only those variables with p &lt; .05 in the final multivariate regression analyses. Some variables may have been omitted on the basis of this criterion which could have been important in combination with another predictor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lundgren J et al. [2]</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Internet-based cognitive behavior therapy for patients with heart failure and depressive symptoms. A proof of concept study.</td>
<td>Patient Educ Couns.</td>
<td>Seven HF patients with depressive symptoms were recruited to the study.</td>
<td>Based on research in HF and CBT, a nine-week program was developed. The median depression score decreased from baseline to the end of the study (PHQ-9: 11-8.5; MADRS-S: 25.5-16.5) and none of the depression scores worsened. Feedback from health care providers required approximately 3h per patient. Facilitating perceptions (e.g. freedom of time) and demanding perceptions (e.g. part of the program demanded a lot of work) were described by the patients.</td>
<td>Pubmed</td>
<td>The patients in the study had to make an active choice to become eligible for participation (reading the advertisement and actively going to the study website to register). Hence, there may be a sample bias in that patients with no access to the internet, low computer-skills, or no access to newspapers were not included. Furthermore, the mean age of our population was younger than the general HF population.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Journal</td>
<td>Sample or comments</td>
<td>Main findings</td>
<td>Database</td>
<td>Limitations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenealy TW et al. [35]</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Telecare for diabetes, CHF or COPD: effect on quality of life, hospital use and costs. A randomised controlled trial and qualitative evaluation.</td>
<td>PLoS One.</td>
<td>There were 171 patients (98 intervention, 73 control) randomly assigned</td>
<td>Quality of life, self-efficacy and disease-specific measures did not change significantly, while anxiety and depression both decreased significantly with the intervention. Hospital admissions, days in hospital, emergency department visits, outpatient visits and costs did not differ significantly between the groups. Patients at all sites were universally positive. Many felt safer and more cared for, and said that they and their family had learned more about managing their condition.</td>
<td>Pubmed</td>
<td>Limitations of the current study include a relatively small sample size. Patients randomly allocated. The same staff treated both intervention and control groups. In hindsight, this invited contamination between groups, perhaps increasing surveillance of control groups. Lack of information about the numbers screened prior to randomisation potentially limits the generalizability of findings. We have noted the technical difficulties with the equipment and data presented for monitoring.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cockayne S. et al. [4]</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Nurse facilitated Self-management support for people with heart failure and their family carers (SEMAPHOR): a randomised controlled trial.</td>
<td>Int J Nurs Stud.</td>
<td>Between August 2006 and in November 2007, 260 participants were recruited to the study. Of the 260 participants, 95 were allocated to the intervention group and 165 to the control group. Overall, 1079 participants were invited to take part in the trial</td>
<td>There was no evidence of a difference between the groups in whether or not a patient was re-admitted to hospital during the 12 month follow-up period (p=0.66). There was no evidence of a difference between the treatment groups in the mean MLHF scores over time (p=0.768), the European self-care questionnaire (p=0.340) or the mean HAD anxiety score (p=0.786). However, when adjusted for baseline scores the self-management group had a statistically significant higher HADS depression score at 12 months (p=0.003).</td>
<td>Pubmed</td>
<td>One limitation to the study is that control patients may have asked the heart failure nurse for help in following the manual, which may have contaminated the control group. It may also be that the self-management was not of sufficient duration or intensity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huffman JC et al. [25]</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Collaborative care for depression and anxiety disorders in patients with recent cardiac events: the Management of Sadness and Anxiety in Cardiology (MOSAIC) randomized clinical trial.</td>
<td>JAMA Intern Med.</td>
<td>Single-blind randomized clinical trial, with study assessors blind to group assignment, from September 2010 through July 2013 of 183 patients admitted to inpatient cardiac units in an urban academic general hospital for acute coronary syndrome, arrhythmia, or heart failure and found to have clinical depression, generalized anxiety disorder, or panic disorder on structured assessment.</td>
<td>Patients randomized to CC had significantly greater estimated mean improvements in SF-12 MCS at 24 weeks (11.21 points [from 34.21 to 45.42] in the CC group vs 5.53 points [from 36.30 to 41.83] in the control group; estimated mean difference, 5.68 points [95% CI, 2.14 9.22]; P = .002; effect size, 0.61). Patients receiving CC also had significant improvements in depressive symptoms and general functioning, and higher rates of treatment of a mental health disorder; anxiety scores, rates of disorder response, and adherence did not differ between groups.</td>
<td>Pubmed</td>
<td>First, it occurred in an academic medical center among mostly white patients. Second, the intervention was delivered by research clinicians who have experience with the population and CC programs; third, there was a single CM, and our results may have been primarily due to the proficiency of this clinician, fourth, psychiatric diagnoses were not made using formal structured clinical interviews.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Journal</td>
<td>Sample or comments</td>
<td>Main findings</td>
<td>Database</td>
<td>Limitations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duncan, K. et al.</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Psychological responses and adherence to exercise in heart failure</td>
<td>Rehabilitation Nursing</td>
<td>A sample of 42 patients with heart failure were randomized into an exercise (INV) group (n = 22) and an attention control (AC) group (n = 20).</td>
<td>Results indicate self-efficacy improved for the INV group and was maintained during the self-management phase. The adherence subgroups demonstrated different patterns for weekly exercise. Depression and confusion scores improved for the high-adherence group in contrast to worsening for the low-adherence group. Conclusions Results suggest a need for further study of the psychological responses of exercise adherence for patients with heart failure.</td>
<td>Scopus</td>
<td>Limitations include the small, homogenous (primarily Caucasian) sample which yielded low power for the assessment of changes. The exercise and adherence intervention was delivered in cohort groups, resulting in a potential correlation in the outcomes of individuals within the same small group. This exploratory analysis created additional subgroups and the sample size was not large enough to employ methods that would have allowed us to take this dependence of observations into account. Data on exercise frequency was based on self-reports and may reflect over reporting of completed sessions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelbrich G. et al.</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Effects of structured heart failure disease management on mortality and morbidity depend on patients’ mood: results from the Interdisciplinary Network for Heart Failure Study.</td>
<td>Eur J Heart Fail.</td>
<td>715 participants in patients enrolled after hospitalization for decompensated systolic HF according to their responses to the 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) during an observation period of 180 days.</td>
<td>The composite endpoint of mortality and re-hospitalization was neutral overall and in all subgroups. However, HNC reduced mortality risk in both depressed and non-depressed patients [adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) 0.12, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.03-0.56, P = 0.006, and 0.49, 95% CI 0.25-0.93, P = 0.03, respectively], but not in PHQ-deniers (HR 1.74, 95% CI 0.77-3.96, P = 0.19; P = 0.006 for homogeneity of HRs). Average frequencies of patient contacts in the HNC arm were 12.8 ± 7.9 in non-depressed patients, 12.4 ± 7.1 in depressed patients, and 5.5 ± 7.2 in PHQ-deniers (P &lt; 0.001). Early after decompensation, HNC reduced mortality risk in non-depressed and even more in depressed subjects, but not in PHQ-deniers.</td>
<td>Pubmed</td>
<td>We studied patients only in the first 180 days after an episode of acute cardiac decompensation for systolic HF, our results can be generalized neither to stable patients with chronic systolic HF nor to patients with HF and preserved LVEF. Another limitation concerns comparability of the depressed subgroups discussed in our study. In none of these studies was the diagnosis based on DSM-IV criteria. The definition of depressive disorder was, moreover, inconsistent. populations thus not strictly comparable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Journal</td>
<td>Sample or comments</td>
<td>Main findings</td>
<td>Database</td>
<td>Limitations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bekelman D.B et al.</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Feasibility and acceptability of a collaborative care intervention to improve symptoms and quality of life in chronic heart failure: Mixed methods pilot trial</td>
<td>Journal of Palliative Medicine</td>
<td>We conducted a prospective mixed methods pilot trial. Study subjects were outpatients with chronic HF from a Veteran's Affairs hospital (n=15) and a university hospital (n=2). All participants who screened positive for depression on the PHQ-9 (n = 4) were either treated for depression or thought not to have a depressive disorder and treated for fatigue. Most felt the nursing component was &quot;a good source of information&quot; about diet, exercise, and self-monitoring of weight and blood pressure. Many said it helped with self-care. Almost all patients were satisfied with the frequency and phone format of CASA visits. Many praised the flexibility that staff offered in scheduling phone visits. Most thought that CASA should ideally be provided shortly after diagnosis.</td>
<td>Scopus</td>
<td>CASA focuses primarily on symptoms and quality of life. Other components of palliative care, such as advance care planning and spiritual care, are not structured into the intervention. Finally, as the majority of recruitment took place at a Veterans Affairs hospital, all the participants were male, and we did not learn if females might respond differently to the intervention.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evangelista LS et al.</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Does the type and frequency of palliative care services received by patients with advanced heart failure impact symptom burden</td>
<td>Journal of Palliative Medicine</td>
<td>This descriptive-exploratory study was conducted at a single university affiliated medical center. Participants were recruited from the inpatient setting through HF provider referrals. During the five-month study recruitment period, 57 patients were referred by their HF provider; 42 (73%) provided informed consent, but only 36 (85.7%) completed the initial PC consultation. We found that ongoing PC support beyond the initial PC consultation resulted in greater reductions in symptom burden, including pain and depression, confirming that on-going PC provides clinicians with the opportunity to focus on the needs and preferences of greatest importance to patients and families are more likely to result in better outcomes.</td>
<td>Scopus</td>
<td>First, as expected with descriptive, exploratory studies, causation cannot be inferred. We cannot say that the number of PC referrals actually resulted in reduced symptom burden. Second, the generalizability of our findings is limited because the sample is from a single university affiliated medical center; patients were younger on average compared to patients with HF in the community. Third, we did not have a mechanism to verify the time spent on individual PC services during the follow-up visits. Last, our study did not have a control group.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Journal</td>
<td>Sample or comments</td>
<td>Main findings</td>
<td>Database</td>
<td>Limitations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tully PJ et al.</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>A dynamic view of comorbid depression and generalized anxiety disorder symptom change in chronic heart failure: the discrete effects of cognitive behavioral therapy, exercise, and psychotropic medication.</td>
<td>Disabl Rehabil.</td>
<td>Total 29 HF patients under psychiatric management underwent primary depression cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT; n=15) or primary generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) CBT (n=14), and participated in a community exercise program and standard physician care. Repeated measures analysis of variance assessed Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) and GAD-7 symptom change pre- and post-CBT treatment, and assessed the interaction effects of treatment type, exercise, anti-depressant and anxiolytic. With respect to PHQ total scores, none of the main effects were significant (CBT treatment type p=0.19, exercise rehabilitation p=0.20, anti-depressants p=0.46, anxiolytics p=0.80). There was however a significant time and treatment interaction effect showing greater reduction in depression symptoms that favored the GAD CBT group (F(1, 24)=4.52, p=0.04, partial2p =0.16).</td>
<td>Pubmed</td>
<td>Other potential sampling limitations include that depression and anxiety disorders are frequently under-recognized [67]. For example, as many as 27% of cardiac patients are not assessed by routine screening protocols [68] and severely depressed patients are less likely to prefer counseling than anti-depressants [48]. Treatment was not allocated according to a randomization process, but rather, based on consultation and patient preference.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villani A et al</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Clinical and psychological telemonitoring and telecare of high risk heart failure patients.</td>
<td>J Telemed Telecare.</td>
<td>Eighty patients were randomized before hospital discharge to a usual care group (n=40: follow up at the outpatient clinic) or to an integrated management group (n=40: patients learned to use a handheld PDA and kept in touch daily with the monitoring centre). At enrolment, the groups were similar for all clinical variables. At one-year follow-up, integrated management patients showed better adherence, reduced anxiety and depression, and lower NYHA class and plasma levels of BNP with respect to the usual care patients (e.g. NYHA class 2.1 vs 2.4, P&lt;0.02). In heart failure patients at high risk of relapse, the regular acquisition of simple clinical information and the possibility for the patient to contact the clinical staff improved drug titration, produced better psychological status and quality of life, and reduced hospitalizations for heart failure.</td>
<td>Pubmed</td>
<td>First, telemonitoring does not imply telecare: this may explain the failure of ambitious, multicentre studies and the better results of local experiences, where patients are likely to benefit from individual interaction with dedicated, well-trained and specialized care providers. Second, a range of different variables has been used in telemonitoring: remote checking of bodyweight, blood pressure, heart rate, even natriuretic peptides, have all been tested to prevent hospital admissions Study patients represent a very small number of the patient panels of a given primary care provider, and thus the potential for contamination is low. The intervention is multimodal, and we may not know the most important components of the intervention if it is successful.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Journal</td>
<td>Sample or comments</td>
<td>Main findings</td>
<td>Database</td>
<td>Limitations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chung H. et al.</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Early e experience of a pilot intervention for patients with depression and chronic medical illness in an urban ACO</td>
<td>General Hospital Psychiatry</td>
<td>Patients with chronic illness (diabetes mellitus, coronary artery disease and/or congestive heart failure) and comorbid depressive symptoms (Patient Health Questionnaire [PHQ]9 score ≥10) were enrolled. Seventy-nine eligible patients were offered enrollment in the program with 12 refusals. Sixty-seven patients enrolled during the pilot period, and 6 patients disenrolled prior to 8 weeks. Results for 61 patients</td>
<td>Forty-four percent of patients (n=61) achieved a depression response. In a diabetes subgroup with depression and glycosylated hemoglobin level HbA1c &gt; 8 (n=21), 33% had a depression response with a minimum 0.5% HbA1c reduction. Among a subgroup (n=25) with Framingham risk score &gt; 15% and depression, mean PHQ9 depression scores and mean Framingham scores were reduced by 35% and 34%, respectively.</td>
<td>Scopus</td>
<td>The sample size was small and recruited through data mining and PCP referral. There was no control group. The patient survey results represented only 38% of the patient sample and may result in a positive bias. The findings may not be generalizable to systems that lack centralized care management resources or accountable care payment arrangements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Use of telephone calls aiming support and monitoring is extensively reported in literature, reaching satisfactory results in depression management and in general clinical symptoms (Huffman et al., 2014; Kalter-Leibovici et al., 2017; Piette et al., 2015). As an example of this, Chung et al. (2013) performed a pilot study through a telephone therapy program and obtained a regression of PHQ-9 (Patient Health Questionnaire 9) index in 15% of studied patients, corroborating the study of Gelbrich et al. (2014) in which patients were accompanied and evaluated through telephone regarding self-monitoring, and were regularly encouraged to face individual problems. PHQ-9 is a nine items instrument self-administered and projected to detect depression in different populations (Lundgren et al., 2015). Results are shown as an effective strategy of management to HF depressed patients, once they significantly improved surviving during first 180 days after discharge from hospital. Piette et al. (2015) also demonstrated the use of interactive telephonic calls, in which patients received personalized counseling about self-management. Among patients with higher level of depressive symptoms participating the study, those randomized were the ones who reported an excellent or very good improvement in general health. Similar strategies were used by Kalter-Leibovici et al. (2017) Bekelman et al. (2013) and Villani et al. (2014) showed that the group that applied the management presented greater adhesion rates, clinical and psychological status improvement, recovering of relevant prognostic indexes, as ventricular function and BNP levels, and reduction of hospitalizations due heart failure. Favorable results were attributed to daily monitoring.

Cognitive behavioral therapy in depression treatment, which can be through telephone, or group or individual therapy, also resulted in mental health improvement among HF patients (Tully et al., 2015). In Huffman et al. (2014) study, during 24 weeks, the participants were randomized in a group that received cognitive behavioral intervention based on a phone call, trying to reach depressive and anxiety disorders, and in a control group, which had increased usual care. There was improvement in quality of life and mental health (Short Form-12 Mental Component Score [SF-12 MCS]), compared to groups using a random effect model. Patients who received cognitive behavioral intervention also had improvement in depressive symptoms and general functioning, obtaining higher treatment rates of a mental disorder.

Kenealy et al. (2015) demonstrated that patients submitted to habitual care and encouraged to daily insert data in an electronic device had anxiety and depression levels significantly reduced, compared to patients from control group. Hospital admissions, days in hospital, visits to emergency department, ambulatorial visits and costs did not differ significantly among groups. Positive results were attributed to greater security and more care by the patient, and better family understanding about condition management.

Bekelman et al. (2014) associated different aspects: nurse telephone calls with evaluation of structured symptoms, and guidelines to relieve shortness of breath, fatigue, pain, or depression; structured counseling through telephone aiming disease adjustment; and weekly team reunions with a specialist in palliative care, cardiologist and primary attention doctor focused on medical recommendations to primary care providers. These aspects are being evaluated, with promising initial results on quality of life, decreased hospitalizations, depression and anxiety.

Duncan, Pozehl, Hertzog and Norman (2014) show the HEART Camp, a program that involves aerobic and anaerobic exercises, and a social cognitive therapy, which postulates that self-efficiency is derived from interrelation of behavior, cognition, and personal and environmental influence (Bandura, 1997; Duncan et al., 2014). HEART Camp sample
consisted of 42 patients randomized in two groups and the feedback was composed by weekly graphic of participation in exercise (frequency, duration, intensity). This study did not found differences in humor for exercise group and control group, after some time. The research about exercise in cardiac population has reported improvement of psychological responses, more notably for depression and anxiety (Evangelista, Lião, Motie, Michelis, Ballard-Hernandez & Lombardo, 2014). Memory lack in states of humor can be reflex of a low frequency of group and the fact that these results are preliminary results.

The participation of a multidisciplinary team is present in most care programs aimed in patients with HF and depression. Psychologists, psychiatrists, nurses, doctors and professionals specialized in palliative care, when actuate together, promote security and a higher attention level, resulting in treatment quality improvement, as well as improvement of disease symptoms (Bekelma et al., 2014; Kalter-Leibovici, 2017; Kemper et al., 2016).

Patient engagement is of total importance for treatment efficacy, Cockayne, Pattenden, Worthy, Richardson and Lewin (2014) and Evangelista et al. (2014) with an intervention based on palliative care, in which the specialist performed physical and psychosocial evaluation and discussions about care planning, and worked together with the participants to develop a treatment plan centered in patient and family, which improve the involvement of patients and their families in caring decisions. The continuous and centered support resulted in greater reductions in symptoms load, including pain and depression, confirming that palliative care offers to clinicians the opportunity to focus in needs and preferences of greater importance to patients and families, leading to better treatment results.

Pharmacological treatment with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor seems to be safe for patients with cardiac insufficiency, Lundgren et al. (2015) and O’Connor et al. (2010) but the effect in depression remains uncertain (Lundgren et al., 2015; Woltz et al., 2012). A great double blind study controlled by placebo, comprising 469 patients, did not show depression improvement in patients with HF after antidepressant use (Lossnitzer et al., 2015; O’Connor et al., 2010).

Similar results were reported by some studies, Gelbrich et al. (2014) and Angermann et al. (2007) in which antidepressant did not show positive effects in patients with cardiovascular diseases and comorbid depression. Kemper KJ, et al. (2016) add to actual data that pharmacological intervention had uncomplete impact on stress and depression in patient with HF.

In other hand, Lossnitzer et al. (2015) reveal that many patients with HF have objection to antidepressant because they are concerned about adverse effects or negative interaction of medication. This is consistent to recent studies that suggest cardiovascular side effects of antidepressants in patients with HF (Lossnitzer et al., 2015; Tousoulis et al., 2010). Still, this study reports vantages of successful psychotherapy compared to antidepressant pharmacotherapy in treatment of depression associated to HF.

In this context, new approaches are necessary to favor self-management and, thus, improve psychosocial and behavioral factors that affect quality of life in patients with HF (Kemper et al., 2016; Du et al., 2011). The search for a correct treatment of cardiac insufficiency involved a great number of research groups, but, in reality, patients are still not treated as they should (Villani et al., 2014).

**Conclusion**

Facing all analyzed data, one can infer that the best way to manage a patient with heart failure and depression is through the combination of pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatments. Nevertheless, psychotherapy, as cognitive behavioral therapy; self-management strategies; and palliative
caring, allied to involvement of a multi-disciplinary team composed by doctors, nurses, psychologists, psychiatrists, and other professionals specialized in palliative caring, are, notably, the most effective way to manage this class of patient. On the other hand, information about pharmacological interventions applied in this context is still scarce, which impedes the formulation of a coherent answer to the question of this study.

Thus, the limitation of this study can be attributed to data lack about antidepressant efficacy in patients with HF, as well as other studies show (Gottlieb et al., 2007; Lesperance et al., 2003; Lossnitzer et al., 2015; O’Connor et al., 2010). This efficacy was approached in some selected studies, but it was partially investigated, remaining uncertain the antidepressant effects in HF. Thus, new researches that aims to evaluate the correct management of HF associated to depression, combining psychosocial and pharmacological interventions, are necessary.
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